UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,028	04/25/2006	Antje Ziemer	29827/41951	4892
4743 7590 09/29/2009 MARSHALL, GERSTEIN & BORUN LLP 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			BOYER, CHARLES I	
6300 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6357			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/577,028	ZIEMER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Charles I. Boyer	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are pending in the appleau 4a) Of the above claim(s) 9-13 and 15 is/are with 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or are subject to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access that any objection to the	thdrawn from consideration. relection requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the B				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/15/07.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/577,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of group I in the reply filed on July 2, 2009 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that in the present invention, the common special technical feature in *all* claims is polymeric particles coated with at least one surfactant and at least one solvent of general formula (I). There may be a lack of unity only if this common technical feature lacks novelty or is obvious.

This is not found persuasive because as the claims of group I are neither novel, nor unobvious, the invention lacks unity.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Smith et al, US 2007/0167560.

Smith et al teach a superabsorbent polymer that is post-treated with aluminum oxide, aluminum sulfate, amphoteric surfactant, and a glycol ether (¶109). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

3. Claims 1, 2, and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Birkel et al, US 2003/0035783.

Birkel et al teach a hair gel comprising a superabsorbent polymer, a mixture of nonionic surfactants, and ethanol (¶32). As this reference meets all material limitations of the claims at hand, the reference is anticipatory.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gartner et al, US 6,916,864.

Gartner et al teach polyelectrolyte emulsions for the manufacture of superabsorbent polymers (see abstract). One phase of the emulsion contains both organic solvents and surfactants, as well as a dispersant (col. 4, lines 49-59). After the polymer is formed, the polymer may be treated with mixtures of inversion agents,

Art Unit: 1796

including nonionic surfactants, glycol ethers, and titanium dioxide (col. 9, lines 27-52, and col. 17, lines 11-19). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to contact, i.e. coat these polymers with these inversion agents with a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an effective SAP.

3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al, US 2007/0254177.

Smith et al teach a superabsorbent polymer which may be chemically post-crosslinked with nonionic surfactants, organic solvents such as ethanol (¶68 and 69), and aluminum salts (¶45). Accordingly, it would have been obvious to contact, i.e. coat these polymers with these post-crosslinking agents with a reasonable expectation of successfully obtaining an effective SAP.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles I. Boyer whose telephone number is 571 272 1311. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272 1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,028 Page 5

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles I Boyer Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

/Charles I Boyer/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796